

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,995	09/27/2005	Gary Brian Evans	96700/952	3210
	EXAMINER			
90 PARK AVENUE			MOORE, SUSANNA	
NEW YORK, NY 10016			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		. 1624		
		,		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/524,995	GARY BRIAN EVANS					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Susanna Moore	1624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
,	action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	8) Claim(s) 1-28 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) $\square$ . objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application 10.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	A) 🔲 lataniinii Gumana	(PTO 413)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Informal P						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 1624

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group (I), claim(s) 1-9, 11-18, 20-22, 23(part) and 24-28, drawn to compounds of formula (I), wherein pyrrolo[3,2-d]pyrimidines are formed, compositions and methods of intended use are embraced.

Group (II), claim(s) 1-9, 11-17, 19-22, 23(part) and 24-28, drawn to compounds of formula (I), wherein pyrazolo[4,3-d]pyrimidines are formed, compositions and methods of intended use.

Group (III), claim(s) 1-8, 10, 11, 14-18, 20-22, 23(part) and 24-28, drawn to compounds of formula (I), wherein purines are formed, compositions and methods of intended use are embraced.

Group (IV), claim(s) 1-8, 10, 11, 14-17, 19-22, 23(part) and 24-28, drawn to compounds of formula (I), wherein [1,2,3]triazolo[4,5-d]pyrimidines are formed, compositions and methods of intended use.

Group (V), claim(s) 1-9, 11-18, 20-21 and 24-28, drawn to compounds of formula (I), wherein pyrrolo[3,2-d]pyridines are formed, compositions and methods of intended use are embraced.

Group (VI), claim(s) 1-9, 11-17, 19-21 and 24-28, drawn to compounds of formula (I), wherein pyrazolo[4,3-d]pyridines are formed, compositions and methods of intended use.

Group (VII), claim(s) 1-8, 10, 11, 14-18, 20-21 and 24-28, drawn to compounds of formula (I), wherein imidazo[4,5-c]pyridines are formed, compositions and methods of intended use are embraced.

Group (VIII), claim(s) 1-8, 10, 11, 14-17, 19-21 and 24-28, drawn to compounds of formula (I), wherein [1,2,3]triazolo[4,5-c]pyridines are formed, compositions and methods of intended use.

Art Unit: 1624

The claims herein lack unity of invention under PCT rule 13.1 and 13.2 since, under 37 CFR 1.475(a) Group I -Group VIII lack unity of invention since under 37 CFR 1.475:

Where a group of inventions is claimed in an application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features...those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

The technical feature corresponding to group I, formula (I), is the substituted pyrrolo[3,2-d]pyrimidines scaffold. Group (II) contains a pyrazolo[4,3-d]pyrimidine bicycle of formula (I). Group III contains purines of formula (I) as the special technical feature. The technical feature corresponding to group (IV), formula (I), is the substituted [1,2,3]triazolo[4,5-d]pyrimidines scaffold. The technical feature corresponding to group V, formula (I), is the substituted pyrrolo[3,2-d]pyridines scaffold. Group (VI) contains a pyrazolo[4,3-d]pyridine bicycle of formula (I). Group (VII) contains imidazo[4,5-c]pyridines of formula (I) as the special technical feature. The technical feature corresponding to group (VIII), formula (I), is the substituted [1,2,3]triazolo[4,5-d]pyridines scaffold. These bicyclic ring systems are not considered equivalent. Therefore the above claims, are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept and there is a lack of unity of invention because they lack a common core structure and the technical features present fail to define a contribution over the prior art. Accordingly, unity of invention is considered to be lacking and restriction of the invention in accordance with the rules of unity of invention is considered to be proper.

Therefore, since the claims do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 and lack the same or corresponding special technical features, the claims lack unity of invention and should be limited to only one invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/524,995 Page 4

Art Unit: 1624

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above <u>and</u> there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include

(i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to

Art Unit: 1624

petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Alan D. Miller on April 26, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Applicant was advised a Restriction requirement was being sent by mail.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Page 6

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susanna Moore whose telephone number is (571) 272-9046. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Wilson can be reached on (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/524,995 Page 7

Art Unit: 1624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

 $\mathcal{Q}_{M}^{\Lambda}$ 

Mark L. Berch
Primary examiner
Art Unit 1624
Technology Center 1600